

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/806,304	03/29/2001	Alain Brochez	BROC3001/JEK	6723	
7590 08/16/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Bacon & Thomas			FLANDRO, RYAN M		
4th Floor 625 Slaters Lan	e		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Alexandria, VA 22314		3679			
			DATE MAIL ED: 08/16/200	DATE MAILED: 08/16/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	P
1	

Ad	viso	ry A	ction

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/806,304	BROCHEZ, ALAIN	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Ryan M Flandro	3679	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 23 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

 (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the 	
issues for appeal; and/or	
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): the 112, 2 nd rejection of claim 30.	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	
7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed: <u>29,45,46,48 and 53-57</u> .	
Claim(s) objected to: <u>34,36,39,50 and 58</u> .	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>30-33,35,41,44,47 and 49</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10. Other:	
JOHN P. COTTINGHAM	
PRIMARY EXAMINER	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 2. NOTE: Specifically, Applicant's amendments to claim 31 which removed the Markush group from the claim and intead requires two of the three previous limitations for the notches requires further search and consideration. Additionally, Applicant's changes regarding the dependency of the claims requires further consideration.